



## UNITED ST. SES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/399,080 09	9/17/99 (	GRIFFIN	•	J	4426-	38	
OM4.0.4.04.04		, ¬ [		EXAMINER			
NORMAN E LEHRER				OW,S			
1205 NORTH KINGS HWY CHERRY HILL NJ 08034			A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3762		2	
Sugar Services	• .1	e a tarte de la composition	:	DATE	MAILED; 17:	31/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

			61.5
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/399,080	GRIFFIN ET AL
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Scott Getzow	3762
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply</li> </ul>	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failure   - Any recommendation	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC msions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) b period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu re to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi reply received by the Office later than three months afte ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, maication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. II, by statute, cause the application to become	by a reply be timely filed  I thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Be ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on	
2a) <u></u> □		o)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic		matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claims are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are o		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed	•	) disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to		,
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. δ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	<b>5</b> ( )	
7.	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority de		Application No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage
* 5	application from the Internal See the attached detailed Office action	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) for a list of the certified copies r	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U	l.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachmen	t(s)	· ·	
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
· —	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	• • • • • • •	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
C D-11 T	rademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/399,080 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulte et al '205 in view of Griffin '965.

Schulte teaches a catheter which has three defibrillation electrodes thereon, as well as pacing/sensing electrodes, see especially figure 13. Griffin teaches the use of electrode arrays in a atrial defibrillation catheter. Such arrays have been shown in the prior art to be effective for defibrillation, and can allow for customization of the defibrillation waveform pulse in ways that a solid defibrillation electrode cannot. Further, spacing of the arrays on a catheter is a function of the size of the patient's heart, and therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that a variety of spacings is encompassed by the disclosure of the above cited patents.

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ideker et al 5553 in view of Griffin '965.

It would have been obvious to use electrode array type electrodes, as shown by Griffin, in place of the defibrillation electrodes of Ideker for reasons mentioned supra.

	Application/Control	Number:	09/399	080
--	---------------------	---------	--------	-----

Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997.

smg

January 25, 2001